

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/661,515	09/15/2003	Young Kug Lim	8733.869.00-US	7414
30827 7:	590 05/11/2006		EXAMINER	
MCKENNA LONG & ALDRIDGE LLP			KOCH, GEORGE R	
1900 K STREE WASHINGTO	21, NW N, DC 20006		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
	,		1734	
			DATE MAILED: 05/11/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

7			L/
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/661,515	LIM ET AL.	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	George R. Koch III	1734	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	orrespondence add	iress
THE REPLY FILED 25 April 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff stice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in (fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) \square The period for reply expires $\underline{3}$ months from the mailing date			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I			
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	(b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Office.	riate extension fee ice action; or (2) as
2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	pliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two mont	hs of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of th	ne appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	, will <u>not</u> be entered b	ecause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co		TE below);	
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo		. d t	46 - 1
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or			the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		jected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		amaliant Amandmant	(DTOL 224)
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 		impliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a 	·	timely filed amendm	ent canceling the
non-allowable claim(s).	nowable ii submitted iii a separate,	amony mod amondm	one cancoming the
 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro 		Il be entered and an	explanation of
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: 56-66 and 71-74.			
Claim(s) rejected to: <u>30-00 and 71-74.</u> Claim(s) rejected: <u>42-54 and 67-70.</u>			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 1-41 and 75-77.			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	ut before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affidat	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence i	ot be entered is necessary and
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to 			

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

See Continuation Sheet.

showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).

10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

12.
Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

13. Other: ____.

George R. Koch III Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1734 Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments are unpersuasive. Applicant argues that the Satoshi does not discloses or suggest the step of "moving the upper chamber unit and the upper stage to align the first and second substrates" However, Satoshi does disclose these steps. In paragraph 16, Satoshi discloses that the "top chamber 21 descends". In paragraph 0023, Satoshi discloses that the holding structure holding the top substrate descends as well. This descent is sufficient enough to "align" the first and second substrate. It is important to remember that claims must be given their broadest reasonable interpretation (MPEP 2111), and these steps of Satoshi, when given their broadest reasonable interpretation, read upon the step of "moving the upper chamber unit and the upper stage to align the first and second substrates".